# **Interdisciplinary Journal of Linguistics** Volume [16] 2023, Pp. 191-204

# LANGUAGE CHOICE ON TWITTER: A STUDY OF KASHMIRI BILINGUALS USING TWITTER

Sajad Ahmad Teli\* S Shabrooz Andrabi\*\* Ishrat Gul\*\*\*

#### ABSTRACT

Twitter is a famous social networking site in today's global world wherein people are able to communicate with each other by means of texts, voice and videos. Depending upon ones choice, people use different languages and scripts while posting on twitter. The present study is an attempt to assess the language choice of the Kashmiri speakers while using the social networking site twitter. The study also takes into account the script used by the Kashmiri speakers while on twitter. Kashmiri is the mother tongue of majority of the residents of Kashmir valley. A well-developed questionnaire was framed for collection of data. After the data collection, data was codified and analysed using SPSS version 25. The findings of the data support the view that people of Kashmir do use Kashmiri and Urdu rarely while using twitter and use English language quite often. It was also revealed that Roman script is preferred as compared to the Perso Arabic Script.

Keywords: Language Choice, Twitter, Script, Kashmiri

## 1. Introduction

Language preference and use is a much debated field in the domain of sociolinguistics. In determining the language preference and choice, researchers try to see the language behaviours of the language users in order to see which language is taken as the prestigious language by the speakers and for which language there is a perception of low prestige language among the speakers.

Communication and information technology has achieved new heights with the introduction of different social media networking sites where people are able to easily communicate with each other without

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Linguistics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Linguistics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Department of Linguistics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India

any difficulty. One such social media network is the twitter. Twitter is a social media handle where people can share text, videos, audios and images instantly. There is no language barrier and people can share the content and can chat in any language. Despite the global spread of the twitter, very little research has been carried out on cross language behaviour on twitter (Hong, et al, 2011). Thousands of tweets are being tweeted by the users in different languages of the world. Kashmiri bilinguals also use twitter and this research is basically to identify their language behaviour while using twitter.

## 1.1. Literature Review

Humans have been bestowed with a unique trait i.e., language, through which they share their thoughts, information and are able to communicate with others in the society. It is said that emotions are best expressed in one's mother tongue. Due to the advent of communication technology our communication mediums changed from traditional models to new means of communication, like twitter, a social networking site. The language use can vary from person to person and from one context to the other. A study revealed that Hindi-English bilinguals tend to use Hindi while using negative comments and swearing on twitter as compared to English (Rudra, et al, 2016). Similarly, in a study, it was found that there is significant difference between the males and females in using personal pronouns while using the twitter (Abdurahman, 2017). It has been argued that behaviours of English language users generalise to other language users as well but it was seen that from the studies that other language users differ considerably while using different twitter conventions (Hong, et al 2011).

## 2. Methodology

Descriptive Research design was followed in this research study. This is the most common research design which is used in social sciences, psychology and Education (Nassaji, 2015). Data was collected directly from the users of different social networking sites who belong to Kashmir valley of India. The population of this research consists of the users of Twitter in Kashmir valley.

## 2.1. Sampling Technique

Stratified random sampling technique was used for the selection of a representative sample from the population. The whole population area (Kashmir) was divided into two strata's: Rural and Urban. Taking into consideration the population size, proportionate sample was selected randomly from each stratum

#### 2.2. Sample Size

For selecting the representative sample from the population, various techniques and formulas are used. Itemized sampling criteria was used to determine the sample size which says that at least ten respondents should be selected for each item in a scale to test the hypothesis (Wang, 2012). And, as such, 17 items were developed in the questionnaire. However a sample size of 200 was considered for the present study in order to be more representative sample of the population.

The questionnaire was designed after consulting different studies that have been conducted on language preferences on social media. The questionnaire has two sections: - one related to the demographic information of the respondents and the second is related to language preferences of Kashmiri speakers while using Twitter. The items were framed on a five point Likert scale.

Before administering the questionnaire to the participants, a pilot study was conducted to check the validity and reliability of the research instrument. The first draft of the questionnaire was given to two research scholars and one expert from Linguistics to confirm the validity of the questionnaire. After receiving their opinion, some items were deleted, some were added and some were modified. After incorporating the changes, the final draft of the questionnaire was created using the Google form. The questionnaire was distributed among 70 participants. The data was codified and tabulated and was entered in SPSS version 25. Cronbach's alpha was used to check the reliability of the questionnaires. Cronbach's alpha for the items was above 0.70 (Table 2.1) for the questionnaires which is considered as the acceptable value for the reliability of a measuring instrument.

Reliability Statistics					
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items				
.937	18				

## Table 2.1: Reliability of the Questionnaire

#### 3. Analysis and Results

Analysis of the data was carried out in different steps by using SPSS version 25. In the first step, the analysis of the demographic variables was carried out and then descriptive analysis was carried out to check the percentages, mean and standard deviation of the different items and their responses by the respondents. For comparative analysis of the statements, Anova and t-test were carried out to check whether there is a significant or insignificant relationship of the different groups of demographic variables.

## 3.1. Analysis of the Demographic Variables

 Table 3.1: Provides the Description of the Demographic Variables of the Respondents

	Gender								
		Male	Fen	nale					
Ν	101 99			9					
%		50.5	49.5						
		Geograp	hical Location						
	Rural Urban								
Ν		136	6	4					
%		68.0	32.0						
		Education	al Qualification						
	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>	Graduation	Post- Graduation					
Ν	46	48	50	56					
%	23.0	24.0	25.0	28.0					
			Age						
	10- 20	21-30	31-40	40 above					
Ν	50	59	46	45					
%	25.0	29.5	23.0	22.5					

## Table 3.1: Demographic Variables

## 3.2: Descriptive Analysis of the Items

Descriptive analysis of the items was carried out and accordingly, for every item, percentages, mean and standard deviations were calculated.

**Table 3.2:** provides the item wise analysis of the responses regarding the use of their language while using Twitter. Respondents responded that they do use twitter sometimes. Regarding the language use it was observed that they use Kashmiri and Urdu rarely while sharing, commenting and for positive comment they use English quite often. For negative comments, they rarely use Kashmiri and Urdu and use English sometimes. They also use Roman script often as compared to Perso Arabic script which they rarely.

		r					r	
Item State	ements	N (f) (%)	R (f) (%)	ST (f) (%)	O (f) (%)	A (f) (%)	Mean	SD
		0	101	71	18	10		
I Use Twitter		0	50.5	35.5	9.0	5.0	2.69	.836
	Kashmiri	136	20	32	7	5	1.62	1.024
		68.0	10.0	16.0	3.5	2.5	1.63	1.034
Language	Urdu	106	31	49	12	2	1.07	1.045
use while		53.0	15.5	24.5	6.0	1.0	1.87	1.043
posting on		0	97	34	30	39		
Twitter	English	0	48.5	17.0	15.0	19.5	3.06	1.191
	Kashmiri	134	24	30	7	5	1.63	1 025
		67.0	12.0	15.0	3.5	2.5	1.05	1.025
Language	Urdu	108	26	48	17	1	1.90	1 076
Use while Commenting		54.0	13.0	24.0	8.5	.5	1.89	1.070
	English	1	91	37	31	40		
on Twitter	English	.5	45.5	18.5	15.5	20.0	3.09	1.195
Language	Kashmiri	139	23	27	8	3	- 1.57	.970
Use while		69.5	11.5	13.5	4.0	1.5		
Posting	Urdu	111	26	49	11	3		1.066
Positive		55.5	13.0	24.5	5.5	1.5	1.65	1.000
Comment on	English	0	96	32	25	47	3 1 2	1 241
Twitter		0	48.0	16.0	12.5	23.5	3.12	1.241
Language	Kashmiri	143	25	24	3	5	1 5 1	940
Use while		71.5	12.5	12.0	1.5	2.5	1.51	.940
Posting	Urdu	119	21	50	7	3	1 77	1.036
Negative		59.5	10.5	25.0	3.5	1.5	1.//	1.050
Comment on	English	0	113	30	19	38		
Twitter	Zinghion	0	56.5	15.0	9.5	19.0	2.91	1.191
Conine Used	Perso-	80	42	56	13	9		
Script Used while Using	Arabic Script	40.0	21.0	28.0	6.5	4.5	2.15	1.154
I witter	Roman	17	60	42	35	46	3 17	1 210
	Script	8.5	30.0	21.0	17.5	23.0	5.17	1.510

<b>Table 3.2</b> :	Descriptive	Analysis	of	Items	Frequency,	Percentages,
	Mean a	nd Standa	ard	Devia	tion	

#### Five Point Likert Scale: Strongly Disagree (SDA), Disagree (DA), Neutral (N), Agree (A) and Strongly Agree (SA)

#### 3.3. Comparative Analysis Anova and t-test

Comparative analysis was carried out in order to check whether there is any significant difference between different groups. One way Anova was carried out for the groups which have more than two categories and for the analysis of two categories of groups t-test was calculated.

Comparative analysis on the basis of educational qualification is presented in **Table 3.3**. It can be seen that there is no difference in the use of Twitter by different groups of educational qualification. There is also a significant difference in the use of Kashmiri language while sharing on Twitter and in English language use while writing a negative comment between the different groups belonging to the different educational backgrounds. Using the Perso Arabic script by the different groups while writing in Urdu and Kashmiri, the groups have shown a significant difference.

Item Statements		Educational Qualification	Mean	Std. Dev.	F Value	Sig.
		10th	2.76	.874		
I Use Twi	tter	12th	2.79	.874	777	500
		Grad	2.64	.776	.///	.308
		Post Grad	2.57	.828		
		10th	1.93	1.218		
	Kashmiri	12th	1.38	.914	2 711	046
		Grad	1.50	.974	2.711	.040
		Post Grad	1.70	.971		
Longuago Uso		10th	2.02	1.145		
while posting on	Urdu	12th	1.67	.996	1 271	206
Twitter		Grad	1.78	.975	1.2/1	.200
i witter		Post Grad	1.98	1.053		
		10th	3.17	1.270		
		12th	2.88	1.104		
		Grad	2.84	1.149	1.899	.131
	English	Post Grad	3.30	1.205		
		10th	1.80	1.128		
	Kashmiri	Kashmiri 12th 1.44 .943		1 267	287	
		Grad	1.54	.973	1.207	.207
		Post Grad	1.71	1.039		
		10th	1.87	1.067		
Language Use	Urdu	12th	1.73	1.086	702	552
while		Grad	1.88	1.118	.702	.552
Commenting on		Post Grad	2.04	1.044		
Twitter	English	10th	3.30	1.209		
1		12th	3.00	1.167		
		Grad	2.86	1.161	1.356	.258
		Post Grad	3.20	1.227		
Longuage Use		10th	1.83	1.235		
Language Use	Kashmiri	12th	1.38	.789	1 085	119
Positivo		Grad	1.46	.862	1.900	.118
rostuve		Post Grad	1.61	.928		

Comment on		10th	1.85	1.074	[	
Twitter	Urdu	12th	1.77	1.096		100
		Grad	1.70	.974	.983	.402
		Post Grad	2.04	1.111	-	
		10th	3.17	1.253		
		12th	3.04	1.237	022	100
	English	Grad	2.92	1.243	.932	.426
	-	Post Grad	3.30	1.235		
		10th	1.67	1.076		
	Kashmiri	12th	1.35	.863	025	.425
		Grad	1.48	.953	.935	
		Post Grad	1.54	.873		
		10th	1.78	1.052		.779
Language Use	Urdu	12th	1.67	1.038	261	
while Posting	-	Grad	1.74	.965	.304	
Negative		Post Grad	1.88	1.096		
Comment on		10th	3.09	1.279		
Twitter		12th	2.81	1.123	2.784	.042
		Grad	2.56	.972		
	English	Post Grad	3.16	1.290		
	Perso-	10th	2.65	1.233		
	Arabic	12th	1.98	.934		
	Script	Grad	1.82	1.082	4.892	.003
Script while Using Twitter		Post Grad	2.16	1.203		
Ũ	Damas	10th	3.61	1.220		
	Roman Somint	12th	3.02	1.422	1 220	075
	Script	Grad	3.02	1.332	2.558	.075
		Post Grad	3.05	1.212		

# Table 3.3: Comparative Analysis Anova for Educational Qualification

**Table 3.4:** presents the comparative analysis of items on the basis of age of the respondents.

The findings show that there is no significant difference between the different age groups of Kashmir valley when it comes to the frequency of using twitter. For most of the items there is no significant difference between the different age groups in the language use preferences. However, it can be observed that a significant difference is present between the use of

Kashmiri language while posting on twitter and the use of Perso-Arabic script by different age groups.

Item State	ements	Age Years	Mean	Std. Dev.	F Value	Sig.
		10-20	2.76	.847		
	• 44 - 11	21-30	2.66	.921		
I Use I v	vitter	31-40	2.67	.732	.186	.906
		40 Above	2.64	.830		
		10-20	1.92	1.226		
	IZ a 1 au 1 d	21-30	1.69	.969		
	Kasiiiiiiii	31-40	1.57	1.109	3.418	.018
		40 Above	1.27	.654		
Language		10-20	2.02	1.169		
Use while	Urdu	21-30	1.93	1.015		
Posting on	Uldu	31-40	1.76	1.037	.924	.430
Twitter		40 Above	1.71	.944		
		10-20	3.08	1.259		
	English	21-30	3.10	1.199		
	English	31-40	3.07	1.162	.141	.935
		40 Above	2.96	1.167		
	<b>T</b>	10-20	1.74	1.103		
		21-30	1.69	.951		
	Kashmiri	31-40	1.61	1.201	.892	.446
		40 Above	1.42	.812		
Language		10-20	1.88	1.100		
Use while	Lada	21-30	2.05	1.121		
Commenting	Urdu	31-40	1.76	.993	.763	.516
on Twitter		40 Above	1.80	1.079		
		10-20	3.22	1.200		
		21-30	3.07	1.216		
	English	31-40	3.00	1.155	.293	.830
		40 Above	3.07	1.232		
Languaga		10-20	1.78	1.200		
Use while		21-30	1.63	.869		
Posting	Kashmiri	31-40	1.46	.959	1.813	.146
Positive comment on		40 Above	1.36	.773		
Twitter	Urdu	10-20	1.84	1.095	.209	.890

		21-30	1.93	1.081		
		31-40	1.78	1.052		
		40 Above	1.80	1.057		
		10-20	3.08	1.243		
		21-30	3.20	1.270		
	English	31-40	3.04	1.192	1.00	000
		40 Above	3.11	1.283	.162	.922
		10-20	1.66	1.062		
	Kashmiri	21-30	1.58	.914		
	Kasiiiiiii	31-40	1.46	1.048	1.247	.294
		40 Above	1.31	.668		
		10-20	1.78	1.075		
Language	Urdu	21-30	1.81	1.042		
Use while	ordu	31-40	1.74	1.042	.068	.977
Posting Negative		40 Above	1.73	1.009		
Comment on		10-20	3.02	1.253		
Twitter		21-30	2.80	1.141		
		31-40	3.00	1.193	.450	.718
	English	40 Above	2.84	1.205		
		10-20	2.98	1.152		
		21-30	2.97	1.174		
		31-40	2.91	1.132	.032	.992
	English	40 Above	2.98	1.323		
	Perso-	10-20	2.50	1.266		
	Arabic	21-30	1.88	.948		
	Script	31-40	2.17	1.355	2.756	.044
Script while Using		40 Above	2.07	.963		
Twitter		10-20	3.42	1.295		
	Roman	21-30	3.03	1.203		
	Script	31-40	3.07	1.405	.917	.434
		40 Above	3.16	1.364		

## Table 3.4: Comparative Analysis Anova for Age

Gender wise analysis of the items is given in **Table 3.5**. It can be seen that both males and females use twitter equally and for all the items it can be seen from the figures that both males and females use language equally for sharing, commenting, and positive comment and for negative comment. Table also shows that females tend to use Roman script more times than males.

					t-test for Equality		
Item State	ements	Gender	Mean	Std.	of Means		
		000000		Dev.	t-	Sig.	
					Value	(2-tailed)	
I Use Tv	vitter	Male	2.67	.826	200	.842	
		Female	2.70	.851			
	Kashmiri	Male	1.68	1.076	.803	.423	
		Female	1.57	.991			
Language Use	Urdu	Male	1.97	1.144			
while Posting		Female	1.76	.927	1.446	.150	
on Twitter	English	Male	3.03	1.162	303	.762	
		Female	3.08	1.226			
	Kashmiri	Male	1.66	1.070	.534	.594	
		Female	1.59	.979			
Language Use	Urdu	Male	1.93	1.125	.606	.545	
while Commenting on		Female	1.84	1.027			
Twitter	English	Male	3.11	1.182	.225	.822	
		Female	3.07	1.214			
	Kashmiri	Male	1.58	.962	.282	.779	
Language Use		Female	1.55	.982			
while Posting Positive	Urdu	Male	1.95	1.161	1.417	.158	
Comment on		Female	1.74	.954			
i wittei	English	Male	3.15	1.244	.385	.701	

		Female	3.08	1.243		
Language Use while Posting Negative Comment on Twitter	Kashmiri	Male	1.58	1.022	1.127	.261
		Female	1.43	.847		
	Urdu	Male	1.88	1.160		
		Female	1.66	.883	1.543	.125
	English	Male	2.98	1.208	.841	.401
		Female	2.84	1.175		
	Perso-Arabic	Male	2.18	1.244	.410	.682
Script while Using Twitter	Script	Female	2.11	1.058		
	Roman	Male	2.98	1.233	-2.031	.044
	Script	Female	3.35	1.365		

# Table 3.5: Comparative Analysis t-test for Gender

**Table 3.6:** figures out the classification of the language use while using twitter by rural areas and urban areas. It is observed that no significant difference is found in the use of twitter by rural and urban areas. There is significant difference in the use of English language by people belonging to the rural and urban areas when it comes to sharing, commenting, and positive comment. Urban people tend to use English more frequently than rural people. When writing a negative comment, rural people use Kashmiri more than the urban people. Difference can also be seen in using roman script. People belonging to rural areas use Roman script frequently than that of people belonging to rural areas.

Itam Statements		Geographical	Moon	Std.	t-test for Equality of Means	
item State	ments	Location	wean	Dev.	t-Value	Sig. (2-tailed)
I Use Twitter		Rural	2.73	.847	1.076	284
		Urban	2.59	.811	1.076	.284
	Kashmiri	Rural	1.68	1.059	1.174	.242
		Urban	1.50	.976		

Language Use while Posting	Urdu	Rural	1.85	1.065	237	.813
on Twitter		Urban	1.89	1.010		
	English	Rural	2.93	1.152		
	0	Urban	3.33	1.235	-2.191	.030
	Kashmiri	Rural	1.71	1.081		
		Urban	1.44	.871	1.929	.056
Language Use	Urdu	Rural	1.88	1.071	191	.849
while		Urban	1.91	1.094		
Commenting on Twitter	English	Rural	2.96	1.160	-2.339	.020
		Urban	3.38	1.228		
	Kashmiri	Rural	1.65	.993		
		Urban	1.39	.902	1.815	.072
Language Use	Urdu	Rural	1.85	1.060	.011	.991
Positive		Urban	1.84	1.087		
Comment on Twitter	English	Rural	2.99	1.208	-2.049	.042
		Urban	3.38	1.279		
	Kashmiri	Rural	1.63	1.025		
		Urban	1.27	.672	2.955	.004
Language Use while Posting	Urdu	Rural	1.80	1.060	.626	.532
Negative		Urban	1.70	.987		
Twitter	English	Rural	2.86	1.169	860	.391
		Urban	3.02	1.241		
	Perso- Arabic	Rural	2.05	1.157	-1 679	095
Script while	Script	Urban	2.34	1.130	1.079	.075
Using I witter	Roman	Rural	2.97	1.253		
	Script	Urban	3.58	1.343	-3.126	.002

Table 3.6:	Comparative	Analysis	t-test for	Area
------------	-------------	----------	------------	------

#### 4. Conclusion

Language preference has been always a topic of discussion in the academic disciplines of social sciences especially in the discipline of Sociolinguistics. The theme of this paper revolves around the languages used by the Kashmiri Bilinguals while using twitter, a social networking site. Kashmiri is the language spoken by the majority of the people of Kashmir valley and Urdu and Kashmiri are acquired as the second languages. The findings of the study revealed that Kashmiris' do use Twitter in addition to the other social networking sites. The findings also revealed that the language preferences of Kashmiris' varies from using Kashmiri and Urdu rarely to using English language often while sharing, commenting and for positive comment of twitter. People of Kashmir also prefer using English sometimes and Kashmiri and Urdu rarely while posting a negative comment on twitter. Further it was also revealed that they use Roman script instead of Perso Arabic script while using twitter. Findings of the data also support that there is no significant difference between different groups on the basis of educational qualification, age, gender and area in the language choice while using twitter. However, a significant difference was seen from the data that females tend to use Roman script frequently as compared to the males. People belonging to urban areas do use Roman script more frequently than rural areas.

#### References

- Abdurahman, Nur Hafiz. (2017). An Investigation of Different Language Choice Through Personal Pronouns in the Twitter. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 2(1): 1-13.
- Gul, Ishrat, & Andrabi, S. S. (2024). Language Choice of Shina Youth in Different Domains: A Sociolinguistic Study. *Language in India*, 24(1): 34-48.
- Hong, Lichan, Gregorio Convertino, & Ed Chi (2011). Language Matters in Twitter: A Large Scale Study. *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 5(1).
- Jan, Saima, & Sheikh, A. M. (2017). A Cross-Gender Study of Greetings, Modes of Adress and Politeness Expressions in Kashmiri Language. Ariana Publishers, New Delhi.
- Lui, Marco, & Timothy Baldwin. (2014). Accurate Language Identification of Twitter Messages. *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Language Analysis for Social Media (LASM).*
- Nassaji, Hossein. (2015). Qualitative and Descriptive Research: Data Type Versus Data Analysis. *Language Teaching Research*, 19(2): 129-132.

- Rudra, Koustav, et al. (2016). Understanding Language Preference for Expression of Opinion and Sentiment: What do Hindi- English Speakers do on Twitter?. *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- Sheikh, A. M., Kuchay, S. A., & Jan, S. (2013). The Emerging Picture: A Study of Language Preferences in Kashmiri Youth. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Linguistics*, 6:157-174.
- Teli, S. A., Sheikh, A. M., Jan, S., & Pala, J. Y. (2024). Language Use during Chatting and Expressing Emotions by Kashmiri Speakers Using WhatsApp. *Journal of South Asian Exchanges*, 1(1).
- Wang, Jichuan, & Xiaoqian Wang. (2019). Structural Equation Modeling: Applications Using Mplus. John Wiley & Sons.