

Nominal Inflections in Tsum: An Areal-Typological Perspective

Dubi Nanda Dhakal*

Abstract

This article has examined the inflectional affixes in Tsum in areal-typological context of Tibetan languages spoken in the Himalayan region. Tsum nouns inflect for the biological gender, number, classifier, classifier and emphatic marker, definiteness and case. As expected in Tibetan languages, a number of nouns end in -po and -mo to mark the biological gender. In addition to the numeral classifier -po, Tsum also possesses the numeral classifier plus the emphatic marker -kar attaching to the numeral. The plural marker is added to the last element of the noun phrase, rather than to the head nouns. Tsum shares all the case forms with Written Tibetan. These case forms are also shared by a number of immediate and distant Tibetan neighbours of Tsum.

Keywords: Tibetan, Classifier, Gender, Case, Definiteness.

1. Introduction and Typological Features

Tsum is a Tibetan variety spoken in northern Gorkha, Nepal. The Tsumbas are recorded as one of the nationalities by Government of Nepal as Siyars. *Ethnologue* (Eppel 2012) has classified Tsum as: Sino-Tibetan, Tibeto-Burman, Western Tibeto-Burman, Bodish, Central Bodish, Central, gTsang. Similarly, Bradley (1997) classifies Tsum as: Tibeto-Burman, Western TB, Bodish, gTsang, Tsum, and Nubri is its closest neighbour. Only a few materials directly related to the Tsum language are available, viz. Dhakal and Donohue (2015), Liu (2015), Donohue and Dhakal (2016). Typologically, Tsum shares a number of features of central Tibetan languages. Tsum is an SOV language, tonal like other languages spoken in the buffer zone between Nepal and China (cf. Hildebrandt 2007), and is heavily monosyllabic. Some constituents precede the head nouns in noun phrase structure whereas others follow them. Liu (2015) reports that there are as many as eight distinct tones in Tsum, and this is typologically interesting. There are different sets of plain and honorific nouns in Tsum like in other Tibetan languages (cf. DeLancey 1998; Denwood 1999). The honorific nouns are typically used to refer to the monks, and monasteries whereas plain nouns are used elsewhere.

2. Gender

We don't find the grammatical gender in Tsum. While the masculine nouns end in *-pa* feminine nouns end in *-ma*. Examples follow.

- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (1) | <i>ghjalbo</i> 'king' | <i>ghjalmo</i> 'queen' |
| | <i>tfawo</i> 'rooster' | <i>tfau</i> 'hen' |
| | <i>pho</i> 'male, or husband' | <i>mo</i> 'female, or wife' |
| | <i>ki</i> 'dog' | <i>kimu</i> 'bitch' |
| | <i>fara</i> 'young man' | <i>nadzuj</i> 'young girl' |

The marking of biological gender with these suffixes is common in Tibetan varieties. For example, the feminine nouns end in *-mo* in Ladhaki (cf. Koshal 1979:56). The suffix *-ma* and *-pa* frequently occur with the masculine and feminine nouns respectively. The suffixes *-po*, *-mo*

* Central Department of Linguistics, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu Nepal

are evident in Kyirong Tibetan for expressing biological gender (Huber 2002), and it is also found in Gyalsumdo (cf. Dhakal 2015). The gender distinction is also evidenced in the third person singular pronoun. The biological feminine gender ending in *-mo* is also found in Lowa, viz. *pi* 'male calf, *pimo* 'female calf'; *rau* 'male goat', *ramo* 'female goat'. The biological gender marking is attested in a number of Tibetic languages (cf. Benedict 1972:96, LaPolla 2003:27). The third person singular pronoun *kho* 'he' contrasts with the third person singular feminine pronoun *mo* 'she'.

3. Numeral Classifiers

There is a native system of numeral classifier in Tsum. There are two numeral classifier in Tsum, viz. *-bo* and *-kar*. The numeral classifier *-bo* or its variation (*-wo*) is optionally attached to the numerals in noun phrase constructions. The nouns in Tsum are counted in numeral-plus-classifier. The classifier is found with both the human and non-human nouns, and also with both animate and inanimate nouns. We see that the classifier is attached to the numerals (2-3). The numeral classifiers have not been reported in some Tibetan varieties, such as Dongwang Tibetan (Bartee 2007:221).

- (2) ghortsa sum-bo
 house three-CLF
 'three houses'
- (3) bhō sum-bo leu-dzuŋ
 girl three-CLF come-PST.DJ
 'Three girls came.'

In addition to the general numeral classifier, the classifier *-kar* in Tsum also retains the definite (and emphasis) meaning. There are two emphasis markers that appear with nouns, viz. *-kar*, *-gar* and *-raŋ -ŋ*. While the emphatic marker *-kar* occurs only with the numerals, the distribution of *raŋ* is wider in the discourse. The appearance of *-kar* obligatorily substitutes the classifier *-po*, *-bo*.

- (4) khoŋ ni-kar mala pau-so
 they two-CLF down climb.down-PST.DJ
 'Both of them climbed down.'
- (5) khoŋ sum-gar si-so
 they three-CLF die-PST.DJ
 'Three of them died.'

Since both of the classifiers occur both with human and non-human nouns, and with animate and inanimate nouns, it is not easy to tease apart their distributional restrictions. Although they seem to be mutually substitutable, the distribution has some restrictions. Consider the following example.

- (6) no sum-gar-ki ghortsa dal-so
 brother three-EMPH-GEN house fall-PST.DJ
 'The houses of the three brothers fell.'

The fact that the suffix *-kar* is an emphatic suffix can be attested by the following piece of evidence. First, the phrase *no sum-gar-ki ghortsa* 'the houses of the three brothers' contains the emphatic suffix *-kar*. Now, this cannot be replaced by *no sum-bo-raŋ-ki ghortsa*. 'brother three-

CLF-EMPH-GEN house'. It is also to be noted that the suffix *-kar* cannot be followed by the emphatic suffix *-raŋ*. These two piece of evidence, the fact that the suffix cannot be followed by the emphatic marker alone, and this cannot be followed by classifier *-po* followed by the emphatic marker prove it. It is also interesting to note that the numeral classifier *-kar* is used in Nubri for the same function, such as *bhø-pa som-kar* 'Tibet-NMLZ three-CLF.EMPH'. And, this is also evident in Yohlmo (Hari 2010:25).

The emphasis suffix *-ŋ* appears not only in nominal morphology but also in verb morphology and also with other parts of speech. The suffix also literally means 'also'. The emphasis suffix seems to have been originated from the word *raŋ* 'only'. The lexical word is used not only with nouns, but also with other categories. The suffix *-ŋ* used in example can be substituted by the lexical word *raŋ* 'only'.

- (7) kho-ŋ dho-dzi
 he-EMPH go-NPST.CJ
 'He also goes.'

4. Definiteness

The definiteness marker is *-de* in Tsum. This is also realized as *-re*. This might have been connected with the frozen suffix *-du* in some Tibetan varieties (cf. DeLancey 2003:274). This is also referred to as 'definite determiner' referring to 'that' or 'this very specific' (Beyer 1992: 206). This can be contrasted with the lexical word *lala* 'some, any' which specifies the indeterminate meaning.

- (8) di mi-de tsum ke je-naŋ
 this man-DEF Tsum language know-NPST.CJ
 'This man understands the Tsum language.'

- (9) hala hu-ŋan mi-re halta tshulta dzhe
 that side go-NMLZ man-DEF this.side that.side look.PST
 'The man who went there looked here and there.'

- (10) ŋə-i tʃela tʃik ŋi-dzuŋ
 I-ERG thing one get-PST.DJ
 'I got something.'

The definiteness is also attached to adjectives as in the expression, such as *nepo-de pr-so* [bad-DEF go-PST, 'The bad one went.']. The definiteness mentioned above can be contrasted with the indefiniteness expression in Tsum. This is expressed in the expression, such as, *ŋə-i tʃela tʃik ŋi-dzuŋ* [I-ERG thing one get-NPST ('I got something.'). The numeral *tʃik* 'one' that appears after the noun expresses the indefiniteness. The definiteness marking is common in Tibetan varieties of the region, such as in Kyirong Tibetan (Huber 2002:70). The definiteness marking is Gyalsumdo is *-ko* (Dhakal 2015:21), and thus different from the definite marker in Tsum. This definiteness marker is also attested in Nubri as shown in (11).

- Nubri
 (11) dʒhip-ruk nakpo-di bu ʃä-soŋ
 hen-DIM black-DEF insect eat-PST.DJ
 'The chicks ate the insects.'

Other Tibetan languages also make use of the definite marker (article), for example, Hile Sherpa make use of *ti* for the same function (Graves 2007:185).

5. Plural Marker

The singular is unmarked whereas the plural is morphologically coded. Tsum makes a difference between singular and plural by making use of two plural markers, viz. *-kja* and *-dem*. The plural suffix *-dem* is also realized as *-rem* and it is phonologically conditioned. The human nouns can host both of these suffixes to change them into plural but the non-human nouns only host the suffix *-dem*. An example follows (12).

- | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|--------|----------------|---------|----------------|--------|
| (12) | <i>pu</i> | ‘boy’ | <i>pu-kja</i> | ‘boys’ | <i>pu-dem</i> | ‘boys’ |
| | <i>bhō</i> | ‘girl’ | <i>bhō-kja</i> | ‘girls’ | <i>bhō-dem</i> | ‘boys’ |
| | <i>mi</i> | ‘man’ | <i>mi-kja</i> | ‘men’ | <i>mi-dem</i> | ‘men’ |

The same thing applies to non-human nouns (13).

- | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| (13) | <i>bhawo</i> | ‘cow’ | <i>bhawo-kja</i> | ‘cows’ | <i>bhao-dem</i> | ‘cows’ |
| | <i>ra</i> | ‘goat’ | <i>ra-kja</i> | ‘goats’ | <i>ra-dem</i> | ‘goats’ |
| | <i>ka</i> | ‘pillar’ | <i>ka-kja</i> | ‘pillars’ | <i>ka-dem</i> | ‘pillars’ |

In a noun phrase, the plural marker is attached to the last constituent of the noun phrase. This is common in many Tibetan languages and varieties (cf. DeLancey 2003). Examples follow (14-15).

- | | | | | | |
|------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| (14) | <i>tʃau-ru</i> | <i>nakpo</i> | <i>sum-gi</i> | <i>bu</i> | <i>se-so</i> |
| | hen-DIM | black | three-ERG | insect | eat-PST.DJ |
| | ‘Three black chickens ate the insects.’ | | | | |

- | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| (15) | <i>tsum-pa-rem-gi</i> | <i>gomba-la</i> | <i>tshokpa</i> | <i>tʃho-ŋan-ba</i> |
| | Tsum-NMLZ-PL-ERG | monastery-LOC | worship | do-NMLZ-NMLZ |
| | ‘Tsum people worship in monasteries.’ | | | |

Although the head noun in (14) is ‘chicken’, the ergative is added to the last constituent *sum* ‘three’. Also note that the derivational suffix *-ru* is attached to the nouns to derive diminutive noun¹. It is to be noted that a number of constituents in the noun phrase follow the nouns in noun phrase construction in Tsum. Examples follow.

- | | | | | |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| (16) | <i>ra</i> | <i>nakpo-rem</i> | <i>tʃhoŋ</i> | <i>py-so</i> |
| | goat | black-PL run | go-PST.DJ | |
| | ‘The black goats went.’ | | | |
| (17) | <i>bhedza</i> | <i>tʃhima-rem</i> | <i>ghortsa-la</i> | <i>hʃop</i> |
| | child | small-PL house-LOC | COP | |
| | ‘The small children are at home.’ | | | |

¹ The diminutive ending in *-u* is reported in Tibetan languages (Beyer 1992:70). This is a productive suffix in deriving the diminutive nouns in Tsum. Matisoff (2003: 485) suggests that high vowels are associated with smallness.

In the discourse, the plural marker may be attached to the adjectives and other categories . In addition, the plural suffix is also attached to any nominal categories, and noun clauses in wider discourse context (18-19).

- (18) nepo-rem py-so
 bad-PL go-PST.DJ
 ‘The bad ones have gone.’
- (19) kirõ-wa-rem dhanda tsum-la mijonba
 Kyirong-NMLZ-PLthese.days Tsum-LOC NEG.go.PST
 ‘The Kyirong people do not come to Tsum these days.’²

The plural marker is also attached to adjectives when the adjective alone indicates the noun phrase. Between these plural suffixes, the plural suffix with *-k* has cognates with other Tibetan. For example, Dongwang Tibetan has the plural marker *-kĩ* and *-tshæ53* (2007:254), *-kja*, *-kjak* in Lowa, *-ca* or *-dza* in Kyirong Tibetan. The plural suffixes are slightly different in some other languages, such as *-ja* in Gyalsumdo, *-wa* in Sherpa, and *-kja* in Nubri. It is not easy to find the cognates of the plural suffix with other neighbouring languages.

6. Case Markers

Case relation in Tsum is shown by case markers and postpositions. By case, we mean “a system of marking dependent nouns for the type of relationship they bear to their heads (Blake 2001: 1). Tsum is a ergative-accusative language”. While the subject of the intransitive clause is null-marked, the agent of the transitive clause is marked with the ergative case (20-21).

- (20) kju-ru sum lau-so
 dog-DIM-Ø three come-PST.DJ
 ‘Three black puppies came.’
- (21) pasañ-i kupkja tʃá-so
 Pasang-ERG chair break-PST.DJ
 ‘Pasang broke the chair.’

Like in other Tibetan varieties, the case marker is attached to the final element of the noun phrase, rather than to the head nouns (cf. DeLancey 3003:273). For example, the head noun is tʃau-ru ‘chicken’ in (14) but the ergative case *-gi* is attached to the numeral tʃik ‘one’. Tsum nouns inflect for ergative, genitive, dative-accusative (object), locative, and allative case as illustrated below. The pronouns and demonstratives also inflect similarly for different cases.

6.1 Nominative Case

Nominative case is unmarked in Tsum. The subject of the intransitive is in the nominative case (22). As mentioned in (7.5), if the object is inanimate, or unspecific, it is in the nominative case. We see that the subject of intransitive clause in (22) is *gho* ‘door’, whereas it is *khejun* ‘old man’ in (23).

- (22) gho bhe-so
 door open-PST.DJ
 ‘The door opened.’

² The the negative verb *mijonba* can be glossed as ‘mi-hon-ba, NEG-COME-NPST’.

- (23) kheŋuŋ ʃi-so
 old.man die-PST.dj
 ‘The old man died.’

6.2 Ergative Case

Tsum is an ergative-absolutive language. The ergative is coded by the ergative maker *-i*, *-gi*, or *-ki*. The agent of the transitive clause codes the ergative case (24-30). There is a case syncretism between ergative, instrumental, and genitive case in Tsum.³ They are glossed differently on the semantic basis for the sake of clarity in this paper. The ergativity is consistently marked in Tsum and is not simply conditioned by the pragmatic and semantic factors unlike some other Tibetan varieties, such as Ladakhi (cf. Zeisler 2012). The subject of the transitive clause codes the ergative marker. Some examples follow.

- (24) ŋe-ki dho jur-pa
 we-ERG stone throw-PST.CJ
 ‘We threw the stone.’
- (25) me-i pedza tʃik ʃa-ŋənba
 grandfather-ERG Tibetan.texts one read-NPST.HAB
 ‘My grandfather reads a book (Tibetan text).’
- (26) kho-i lu tʃik ghjar-so
 he-ERG song one sing-PST.DJ
 ‘He sang a song.’

The ergative marker *-i* is difficult to realize if the noun which host the ergative marker ends in *-i*. Since Tsum does not have show contrast in vowel length, the ergative marker is assimilated to the preceding vowel as shown in (27).

- (27) amʃi-i ŋà-la taktse taŋ-so
 doctor-ERG I-DAT examination do-PST.DJ
 ‘The doctor examined me.’
- (28) ki-i ŋà-la mú-dzuŋ
 dog-ERG I-DAT bite-PST.3SG.1SG
 ‘The dog bit me.’

If the noun phrases are coordinated, the last NP takes the ergative marking. An example follows.

- (29) mi hjamu dak dʒhoŋbiã-rem-gi lu ghjar-ki
 man good and soman-PL-ERG song sing-PROG
 ‘Beautiful men and women are singling.’

6.3 Instrumental Case

As mentioned before, there is a case syncretism between ergative and instrumental in Tsum. The instrument case is also marked with *-i*, *-gi*, or *-ki*. Instrument and genitive marker *-ki* is found in central Tibetan dialects (Tournadre 2014:260). Examples follow.

³ I have discussed and presented different functions of these case markers under separate sub-sections for convenience and for practical reasons.

- (30) $\eta\partial$ -i tari-ki \int in \int a-wa
 I-ERG axe-INST wood split-PST.CJ
 ‘I split the wood with the axe.’⁴
- (31) kho-i preka riŋbu-i ki-la dhuŋ-so
 he-ERG stick long-INST dog-DAT hit-PST.DJ
 ‘He hit the dog with the stick.’

The case marker *-ki* as an instrument marker is also used to refer to the material with which something is made from. Examples follow.

- (32) mukpa-gi nam ka dza re
 cloud-INST sky stop keep COP
 ‘The sky is covered with the cloud.’
- (33) moŋor-ki kho-i kaŋba-la tar-so
 motor-INST he-ERG leg-ACC hit-PST.DJ
 ‘The motor hit his leg.’

6.4 Genitive Case

The genitive phrases in Tsum formed two ways. First, genitive is formed by juxtaposing the possessor and possessed noun in that order. The possessor nouns does not take the genitive case in this case. Examples follow.

- (34) mi bhidza \int ik
 man child one
 ‘Man’s one child’
- (35) sonam bhidza gompa-la hi
 Sonam child gompa-LOC COP
 ‘Sonam’s child is good in studies.’

Second, the marker in Tsum is *-i*, *-gi*, *-ki*. The genitive is marked in the possessor with the genitive marker. Thus, the genitive phrase is formed with the genitive marked possessor noun followed by the possessed nouns. The genitive marked noun (possessor) precedes the possessed items (nouns). The genitive *-ki*, *-gi*, *-i* is common in Central Tibetan (DeLancey 2002:272).

- (36) kho-i lakpa-la \int a mo
 he-GEN hand-LOC blood COP
 ‘His hand has blood in it.’
- (37) $\eta\partial$ -i awa-gi tötun nepo hi
 I-GEN father-GEN shirt dirty COP
 ‘My father’s shirt is dirty.’

⁴ The first person singular pronoun $\eta\partial$ is realized as $\eta\partial i$ when it is in ergative, or in genitive case.

The suffix *-gi*, *-gis*, *-i*, which mark these languages are also common in some other languages spoken in Tsum speaking areas. Lowa also shares the genitive including the ergative-instrumental marking *-ki*, *-gi*. Kyirong Tibetan also contains the ergative marker *-ge* although it also has the suffix *-e* for the same function (Huber 2002:72). The ergative-instrumental case is *-ki* in Gyalsumdo, and the same marker is used for the genitive (Dhakal 2015). It is *-gi*, *-ki*, *-i*, *-yi* in Lowa both for ergative-instrumental and also for genitive. Sherpa also has the same affixes for the ergative, instrumental and genitive (Sherpa 2011:32-34).

6.5 Dative Case

There is a case syncretism between dative-accusative and locative in Tsum coded with *-la*. The recipient (indirect object) of a ditransitive clause codes the dative case *-la* as shown in (38-39)⁵.

- (38) $\eta\text{ə}$ -i atʃe-i $\eta\text{à}$ -la partse té-dzuŋ
 I-GEN elder.sister-ERG I-DAT camera give-PST.3SG.1SG
 ‘My elder sister gave me a photograph.’

- (39) mi ʈʃik-i kho-la ɲa té-so
 man one-ERG he-DAT fish give-PST.DJ
 ‘A man gave him a fish.’

The first person pronoun $\eta\text{à}$ is the indirect object whereas *partse* ‘camera’ is the direct object in (38). Similarly, the recipient is *kho* ‘he’ in (39). The direct object of a ditransitive clause codes the dative case (38). The dative-locative *-la* is common in Central Tibetan (DeLancey 2003:274).

The accusative (absolute) case is also the same, viz. *-la* in Tsum. The accusative case is suffixed to animate object which is casually affected. If the pronoun appears in the object position in a transitive clause, it receives the accusative case (40-43).

- (40) pu-de bhō-la thú-so
 boy-DEF girl-DAT collide-PST.DJ
 ‘The boy collided with the girl.’

- (41) $\eta\text{ə}$ -i kho-la tar-bo
 I-ERG he-DAT hit-PST.CJ
 ‘I hit him.’

The definite animate object always receives the accusative case.

- (42) kho-i $\eta\text{ə}$ -i lakpa-la tar-dzuŋ
 he-ERG I-GEN hand-ACC hit-PST.3SG.3SG
 ‘The man hit my hand.’

⁵ Tsum differs significantly from others in the finite verbs. For example, both the subject and objects are coded in the transitive and ditransitive clauses in Tsum, (Dhakal et al. 2016), and this differs significantly from the conjunct/disjunct marking in Tibeto-Burman languages (cf. Hale 1980; DeLancey 2003).

The suffix *-la* is also used for beneficiaries (indirect object). Unless it is specific and definite, the inanimate (direct) objects do not receive the accusative case. So, example (44) does not receive the accusative.

- (43) kho-i dzhola-ne tã tã tøn-sa
 he-ERG bag-ABL money money take.out-PST
 ‘He took out only money from the bag.’
- (44) ŋə-i kho-i pharwa tʃa-wa
 I-ERG he-GEN cup break-PST.CJ
 ‘I broke his cup.’

Dative subjects are used in Tsum. The dative subjects are used with experiencer verbs as shown in (45).

- (45) ŋà-la dʒi
 I-DAT be.afraid
 ‘I am afraid.’

The possession in the possessive clause is shown by the dative-marked subject in Tsum. This is common in other Tibetan languages (46-47).

- (46) ŋà-la pedza sum hʒop
 I-DAT book three COP
 ‘I have three books.’
- (47) kho-la bhiʈu mep
 he-DAT child NEG.COP
 ‘He has no children.’

The suffix *-la* is used in a number of different languages of the region, and it is also used in written Tibetan as well (see Table 1). Nubri, a close neighbour of Tsum also makes use of the same marker for the same function.

Nubri

- (48) me-ki pija-la tame suŋ-soŋ
 grandfather-ERG we-ACC story tell-PST.DJ
 ‘Grandfather told us the story.’

The dative marker *-la* is found in Kyirong Tibetan (2002:78), in Lowa, Gyalsumdo (Dhakal 2015), in Sherpa (Sherpa 2011:33-34) and Yohlmo (Hari 2010: 26).

6.6 Locative Case

The locative in Tsum is *-la*. Locative shows the location of time or space. Location of space is shown in (49-50). Also note that the derivational suffix *-pa* is used to derive nouns from nouns. In example (49), the noun *bhøpa* 'Tibetan' is derived from *bhø* 'Tibet'.

- (49) bhø-pa tʃu-dzø tʃik tsum-la lau-dzuŋ

-
- | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------|--------|---------|----------|-------------|
| | Tibet-NMLZ | ten-around | around | | Tsum-LOC | come-PST.DJ |
| | ‘Approximately ten Tibetans came in Tsum.’ | | | | | |
| (50) | tsum-la | ɲimu-la | khjape | me | | |
| | Tsum-LOC | afternoon-LOC | cold | COP.NEG | | |
| | ‘It is not cold in Tsum in the afternoon.’ | | | | | |

The locative marker is also used with which the speaker does an activity. An example follows. This shows that the person dance following the tune of the song. In the same way, the locative is also used to show the allative function (51-52).

- | | | | |
|------|----------------------------|------------|-------|
| (51) | ɲə-i | tshetuŋ-la | tʃhom |
| | drum-GEN | tune-LOC | dance |
| | ‘Dance with the drumbeat.’ | | |

- | | | | |
|------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|
| (52) | ɲà | ghotsa-la | tok-po |
| | I | house-LOC | return-PST.CJ |
| | ‘I returned home.’ | | |

The emphatic location is expressed with *-lay*, or *-lam*. An example follows (53).

- | | | | |
|------|--|----------------|-----|
| (53) | pu-kja | bhø-lam | ɖwa |
| | boy-PL | Tibet-LOC.EMPH | go |
| | ‘The boys go right to Tibet (as opposed to other places).’ | | |

Some postpositions and adverbs terminate in *-la* in Tsum, and this is common in these languages, such as *naŋla* ‘in’, *wala* ‘below’, *ɲala* ‘in front’, *tiŋla* ‘finally’, *hjala* ‘above’, *mala* ‘down’, *hwala* ‘down’, *kolela* ‘slowly’ among others. Since there is a case syncretism in locative, dative, and accusative, Tsum and other Tibetan varieties share this suffix. Such nouns are called relator nouns.

6.7 Ablative Case

Ablative is marked with *-ni*. The ablative marker shows the source from which something comes. The people’s departing point is the village in (54) and the source of information is Nima in (55).

- | | | | | | |
|------|---|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
| (54) | mi | ghadzin | daŋ | hjul-ni | leu-dzuŋ |
| | man | many | | EMPH | village-ABL |
| | ‘Many people came from the village.’ | | | | |
| (55) | ɲà-i | tsum | ke | nima-ni | leu-wa |
| | I-ERG | Tsum | language | Nima-ABL | learn-PST.CJ |
| | ‘I learnt the Tsum language from Nima.’ | | | | |

The ablative is also used to show that the thing is made up of something. In example (56), the clothing is made up of sheep (wool). It is therefore marked with the ablative marker *-ni*.

- | | | | | |
|------|-------|-----------|----------|------|
| (56) | ghø | lu-ki | pal-ni | dzwa |
| | cloth | sheep-GEN | wool-ABL | make |
-

‘The clothing (bakhkhu) is made from the wool of sheep.’

There are strikingly similar ablative case form among these languages. For example, the ablative is *-ni* in Nubri, *-ne* in Lowa, *-ne* in Gyalsumdo (2015:21), and Yohlmo (Hari 2010:26) and Sherpa (Sherpa 2011:31). See the ablative case in Written Tibetan (Table 1).

6.8 Allative Case

Allative is marked with *-thu* 'upto'. The allative is generally attached to the inanimate nouns (57).

- (57) kho-i ṅà-la tʃhorten-thú ʃhi py-so
 He-ERG I-DAT monastery-ALL lead go-PST.DJ
 ‘He led me upto the monastery.’

We find similar form in Nubri (58).

- (58) ṅà dhotəŋ thuk phin hin
 I Philing upto go COP
 ‘I went upto Philing.’

The allative is also formed with *thu* 'with' in Gyalsumdo (Dhakal 2015:23). It is thus obvious that the allative case form is similar to other languages as well.

6.9 Comitative Case

The comitative postposition in Tsum is *dala* 'with'. The comitative case shows the accompaniment. The verb agrees with the first subject (59-60).

- (59) kho ṅài-dala dhø-so
 he I-with sit-PST.DJ
 ‘He stayed with me.’
- (60) **mo** **dordze** **dala** **leu-dzuŋ**
 she **Dorje with** **come-PST.DJ**
 ‘She came with Dorje.’

The comitative case forms in Tsum is *dala* 'with'. Nubri makes use of the different postposition for this, viz. *pumu* 'with'.

7. Case Markers of Tsum and Written Tibetan

For a little studied Tibetan language like Tsum, one wonders whether it has retained more conservative case forms, or case forms are evolved and diverged much more from those of Written Tibetan (cf. Barte 2007), and classical Tibetan (DeLancey 2003). A comparison of the case forms of Tsum with written Tibetan is given in Table 1. The case forms in Tsum are given in the first column, and case roles are listed in the second column. The case forms as they are found in Written Tibetan are given in the third column. Table 1 is taken and adapted from Barte (2007:259). The Tsum case markers have been added later on.

Table 1: Case markers in Tsum and Written Tibetan
(adapted from Bartee 2007:259).

Tsum	Case roles	Written Tibetan
-i, -gi, -ki	Ergative	gis, kyis, gyis, 'is, yis
	Instrumental	
	Genitive	
0	Absolutive	0
-la	Dative	la, su, ru, tu, du, na, r
	Locative	
-thu	Allative, human	la, su, ru, tu, du, na, r
-la	Objective	la
dala	Comitative	dang

The case forms in Tsum seem to be conservative and do not show much divergence from written Tibetan. For example, it is not surprising to find cognates of the ergative, instrumental and genitive (viz. *-i*, *-gi*, *-ki*) in Tsum. We find clear correspondences of these forms with Written Tibetan. The locative case form *-la* in Tsum is also similar to the form found in written Tibetan. As mentioned before, all Tibetan varieties have the locative *-la* in the Himalayan region. Although the comitative postposition *dala* 'with' is slightly different from *dang*, it seems plausible that they are obvious cognates. The case forms show strikingly similar forms as they are also discussed in Hill (2011).

8. Summary and Conclusion

This article has presented the inflectional features of nouns in Tsum in an areal-typological perspective. A comparison is made with some adjacently related Tibetan varieties although the data from distantly related languages are also considered. Tsum nouns inflect for gender, number, classifier, case, and emphasis, and definiteness. Tsum has two classifiers, *-po*, and *-kar*, both of which are found in some Tibetic languages of the area. The definiteness marking is common in Tibetan languages, and this function is served by *-de* in Tsum. It is interesting to note that the Tsum retains the case forms which have clear correspondences with Written Tibetan. The case syncretism is found in ergative-instrumental and genitive. Similarly, the case syncretism is also evident between the dative, accusative, and locative. Although a number of Sinospheric languages spoken in Sino-Nepal border are not fully documented and studied, the inflectional suffixes of nouns resemble to other lesser-studied languages of the region. Many of these languages preserve the conservative case forms, and show little divergence from Written Tibetan.

9. Abbreviations

ALL: allative; CLF: classifier; COP: copula; DEF: definiteness; DIM: diminutive; EMPH: emphatic; ERG: ergative; GEN: genitive; INST: instrumental; NEG: negative; NPST: non-past; PL: plural; PROG: progressive; PST: past

References

- Bartee, Ellen Lynn. 2007. *A grammar of Dongwang Tibetan*, PhD Dissertation, University of California at Santa Barbara.
- Blake, Berry. 2001. *Case*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bradley, David. 1997. Tibeto-Burman languages and classification. In *Papers in Southeast Asian Linguistics No. 14: Tibeto-Burman languages of the Himalayas*. Canberra, Pacific Linguistics (series A-86), pp. 1-72.
- DeLancey, Scott. 1998. Semantic categorization in Tibetan honorific nouns. *Anthropological Linguistics* 40.1: 109-123.

- DeLancey, Scott. 2003. Classical Tibetan. In Graham Thurgood and Randy LaPolla (eds.), *The Sino-Tibetan languages*, 255–269. London and New York: Routledge.
- Denwood, Philip. 1999. *Tibetan*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Dhakal, Dubi Nanda. 2015. *Morphology and Syntax of Gyalsumdo*. A research report submitted to Center for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS), Tribhuvan University.
- Dhakal, Dubi Nanda, and Mark Donohue. 2015. 'Inchoative/causative verb pairs in Tsum'. *Nepalese Linguistics*. Vol.30.45-49.
- Donohue, Mark and Dubi Nanda Dhakal. 2016. *A Tsum Lexicon* (2016). (with Mark Donohou) Muenchen: Lincom Europa.
- Dhakal, Dubi Nanda, Mark Donohou, Bhoj Raj Gautam and Naijing Liu. 2016. Diagnosing a contact history for Tsum'. *Nepalese Linguistics*. Vol.31.21-28.
- Eppele, John W., M. Paul Lewis, Dan Raj Regmi, and Yogendra Prasad Yadava. 2012. *Ethnologue: Languages of Nepal*. Kathmandu: Linguistic Survey of Nepal and SIL International.
- Graves, Thomas E. 2007. *A grammar of Hile Sherpa*, PhD Dissertation, University of New York at Buffalo.
- Hale, A. 1980. Person markers: Finite conjunct and disjunct verb forms in Newari. R.L.Trail (ed.), *Papers in South-East Asian Linguistics*. Australian National University.7:95-106.
- Hari, Anna Maria. 2010. *A sketch grammar of Yohlmo*. Kathmandu: SIL International.
- Hildebrandt, Kristine A. 2007. Tone in Tibeto-Burman languages: Typological and sociolinguistic approaches. In *New trends in typology: Young typologists' contributions to linguistic theory*. (67-90) (eds Matti Miestamo & Bernhard Wälchli). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Hill, Nathan. 2011. The allative, locative and terminative cases (la-dong) in the old Tibetan annals . In *New studies of the old Tibetan documents: Philology, history and religion*. (3-38) (eds Yoshido Yimaeda, Mathew T. Kapstein & Tuguhito Takeuchi). Tokyo: Tokyo Institute of Foreign Studies.
- Huber, Brigitte. 2002. *The Tibetan dialect of Lende (Kyirong): a grammatical description with historical annotations*. VGH Wissenschaftsverlag/ International Institute for Tibetan and Buddhist Studies GmbH.
- Koshal, Sanyukta. 1979. *Ladhaki grammar*. Delhi: Motilal Banarasidass.
- LaPolla, Randy J. 2003. Overview of Sino-Tibetan morphosyntax. In Thurgood and LaPolla (eds.), *The Sino-Tibetan languages*, 22–42. London and New York: Routledge.
- Lhomi Ching Chippa. In preparation. *A Grammar Sketch of Lhowa*. MA thesis, Central Department of Linguistics, Tribhuvan University.
- Liu, Naijing. 2015. The phonetics and phonology of tone in Tsum, a Tibetan language of northern Nepal. MA thesis, The Australian National University.
- Matisoff, James. 2003. *Handbook of Proto-Tibeto-Burman: System and philosophy of Sino-Tibetan reconstruction*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Sherpa, Gelu. 2011. *A Sketch grammar of Sherpa*. MA diss, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Tournadre, Nicolas. 2014. The Tibetic language and their classification. In: Nathan Hills and Thomas Owen-Smith (eds.), *Trans-Himalayan linguistics* (pp. 105-130). Berlin: DeGruyter.
- Zeisler, Bettina. 2012. Practical issues of pragmatic case marking variations Kenhat variety of Ladakh. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 35.1: 75-106.

